Single Bench

(4)

CF-1001—

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4478 /2006

PETITIONER

Chanesh Ram Dansena, aged about 31 years, son of Shri Muni Ram Dansena, Panchayat Karmi / Secretary, Gram Panchayat Banbhaura, resident of village Banbhaura, Post Banbhaura, Tah Kharsia, District Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4527/06
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Sharma
dated 12/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur(CG)
2. The Awar Sachiv, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Kharsia, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Deputy Director, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY
19/9/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
12/9/06
BILASPUR TAHSIL

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WPS/5436/2006 **सन्** 2006

(26)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

28.8.2006

Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1, 2 and 4, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By this petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30th June 2006 whereby he has been transferred from Janpad Panchayat Kharsiya, District Raigarh to Janpad Panchayat Kuwankonda, District Dantewada showing him as Assistant Gram Panchayat Adhikari.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that he was appointed in the year 1996 as Panchayat Karmi at Gram Panchayat Barbhauna and he has been transferred showing as Assistant Gram Panchayat Adhikari whereas, he has never been appointed as Assistant Gram Panchayat Adhikari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been transferred showing him as Assistant Gram Panchayat Adhikari whereas he is simply a Panchayat Karmi, therefore, he may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 brining this mistake to his notice and in turn, he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.2 within a period of 2 weeks from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within another 4 weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, after

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

27

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law.

In the meantime, till the decision of the representation, the petitioner shall not be relieved from the present place of posting.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3472/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

P.T.O.

1.9.06